

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,805	04/08/2004	Henrik Stender	58418-CIP (48497)	9064
21874 FDWARDS &	7590 01/29/2007 ANGELL, LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 558'	74		JOHANNSEN, DIANA B	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
···	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/821,805	STENDER, HENRIK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634
The MAILING DATE of this communication		h the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replace to the second of the second	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 October 2006.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	·
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	÷	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	and have been a	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	nligation No
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum</li></ul>	•	
application from the International But	•	eceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.
and the ansatron dottered white dotter for a	and the second second for the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>		formal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>-</u> ·

Application/Control Number: 10/821,805

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's response of October 23, 2006 is noted. However, upon further consideration, the prior restriction requirement of September 25, 2006 is withdrawn, and restriction is required as set forth below.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-11, 12 in part, and 25-31, drawn to PNA probes and kits, classified in at least, for example, class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - II. Claims 12 in part, and 13-24, drawn to methods of detecting bacteria comprising steps of hybridization, classified in at least, for example, class 435, subclass 6.

It is noted that claim 12 as written is drawn to a "PNA probe" of claim 1 but requires method steps and refers to analysis of a "sample" not mentioned in said claim 1. Accordingly, the claim has been included in both Group I and Group II, and will be examined only to the extent that it reads upon the elected group. The instant restriction requirement also differs from that of September 23, 2006 in that claims 15-24, which (while depending from claim 12) refer only to methods and further limitations on method steps, are now included in Group II rather than Group I.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different

Application/Control Number: 10/821,805

Art Unit: 1634

product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the products of Invention I can be used in a materially different process, such as nucleic acid sequencing. Further, the inventions have different classifications, and require different text searches. For example, Invention I would require a search for kits comprising particular components, while Invention II would require a search for methods of *Pseudomonas* detection. Accordingly, a search of more than one of Inventions I-II would impose a serious burden.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and because the inventions require a different field of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,805

Art Unit: 1634

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during

Art Unit: 1634

prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Diana B. Johannsen whose telephone number is 571/272-0744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached at 571/272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000-

> Diana B. Johannsen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1634